

### SUMMER SCHOOL "ENABLING DRES TO OFFER ANCILLARY SERVICES" 20TH – 24TH SEPTEMBER 2021

## Synthetic Inertia by DRES

Dr. Georgios C. Kryonidis // 20-09-2021



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme for research and innovation under Grant Agreement no 764090.





(i) Start presenting to display the audience questions on this slide.



## Outline

- State-of-the-art solutions
- The EASY-RES approach
- Comparative analysis
- Experimental validation
- Summary and discussion



## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model

Main idea: Control algorithm that imitates the behavior of a synchronous generator (SG) Application area: Converter-interfaced renewable energy sources (CI-RES)





## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model



[1] Q. Zhong and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters: Inverters That Mimic Synchronous Generators," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1259-1267, April 2011, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2048839.



## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model



CCC EASY-RES // Synthetic Inertia by DRES

State-of-the-art solutions

## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model

Main drawbacks of the synchronverter model

**Coupling** between inertial (IR) and primary frequency response (PFR)



Improved damping at the **expense** of PFR



CCC EASY-RES // Synthetic Inertia by DRES

State-of-the-art solutions

## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model

Main drawbacks of the synchronverter model

Coupling between APCL and RPCL

$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{1}{J} \left[ T_m - T_e - D_p \left( \dot{\theta} - \dot{\theta}_n \right) \right]$$
$$Q = -\dot{\theta} \Phi_{sf} \left\langle \mathbf{I}, \cos \Theta \right\rangle$$

**Mismatch** between calculated and actual output active and reactive power

$$P = \dot{\theta}_n \Phi_{sf} \left\langle \mathbf{I}, \sin \Theta \right\rangle$$
$$Q = -\dot{\theta} \Phi_{sf} \left\langle \mathbf{I}, \cos \Theta \right\rangle$$





## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model

[2],[3]

Main variants of the synchronverter model

Use of a PLL to track network frequency <sup>[1]</sup>

$$\ddot{\theta} = \frac{1}{J} \left[ T_m - T_e - D_p \left( \dot{\theta} - \dot{\theta}_n \right) \right]^{\theta_{PLL}}$$

**Replacing** integrator in RPCL with more sophisticated control algorithms <sup>[2],[3]</sup>

#### Increased complexity

The inherent oscillatory behavior is **overlooked** 

[1] S. D'Arco, J. A. Suul, and O. B. Fosso, "A Virtual Synchronous Machine Implementation for distributed control of power converters" in SmartGrids," in Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 122, pp. 180-197, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.epsr.2015.01.001.

[2] V. Natarajan and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters With Better Stability Due to Virtual Inductors, Virtual Capacitors, and Anti-Windup," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 5994-6004, July 2017, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2017.2674611.

[3] Q. -C. Zhong, G. C. Konstantopoulos, B. Ren and M. Krstic, "Improved Synchronverters with Bounded Frequency and Voltage for Smart Grid Integration," in IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 786-796, March 2018, doi: 10.1109/TSG.2016.2565663.

Join at slido.com: #760793

 $heta_{\scriptscriptstyle PLL}$ 

D

Js



## Use of the analytical synchronous generator model

 $Q_{se}$ 

Main variants of the synchronverter model

Introduce damping at the voltage magnitude <sup>[1]</sup>

(1) 
$$V_{dmp} = -\frac{2}{3}D\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \mathbf{V}_{PCC}, \cos\Theta \rangle)$$

**Increased** coupling between APCL and RPCL **Limited** controllability of the dynamic behavior



Join at slido.com: #760793

Ε

 $\hat{\theta}_n$ 

V<sub>PCC</sub>

D

p



# Use of a simplified swing equation

#### Conventional



Simplified



Controls the internal voltage

No PLL is needed

Provision of "true" inertia

Coupling between IR and PFR

Controls the output power

Accurate measurement of the ROCOF (challenging)

#### PLL is needed

**No** "true" inertia provision due to the **time delay** introduced by the calculation of ROCOF

No coupling between IR and PFR Join at slido.com: #760793



# Commercially Available Products

#### e-mesh<sup>™</sup> PowerStore<sup>™</sup> by Hitachi-ABB<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] https://www.hitachiabb-powergrids.com/offering/product-and-system/energystorage/powerstore

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Commercially Available Products

#### e-mesh<sup>™</sup> PowerStore<sup>™</sup> by Hitachi-ABB<sup>[1]</sup>

The conventional swing equation is used [2]

#### SVC Plus Frequency Stabilizer by Siemens Energy [3]

The simplified swing equation is employed

#### Wind turbine inertia control system by Siemens Gamesa<sup>[4]</sup>

The simplified swing equation is employed

[1] https://www.hitachiabb-powergrids.com/offering/product-and-system/energystorage/powerstore

[2] A. Tuckey and S. Round, "Practical application of a complete virtual synchronous generator control method for microgrid and grid-edge applications," 2018 IEEE 19th Workshop on Control and Modeling for Power Electronics (COMPEL), 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/COMPEL.2018.8459987.

[3] Siemens Energy, "SVC PLUS Frequency Stabilizer," https://www.siemens-energy.com/global/en/offerings/power-transmission/portfolio/flexible-actransmission-systems/svcplus-frequency-stabilizer.html

[4] F. Jimenez Buendia, "Wind turbine inertia control system," Europe, Patent No. EP2918824A1.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

Motivation: Provide "true" IR decoupled from PFR with limited model complexity

Proposed model<sup>[1]</sup>

Modification 1: Introduction of a proportional-integral (PI) controller

**Modification 2**: Decoupling APCL from RPCL

Modification 3: Calculation of the active and reactive power injected to the grid

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

Maintaining the power balance in UVSG

Output power  $p = p_m - 2H \cdot ROCOF$ Power from the primary energy source

 $\left. \begin{array}{c} ROCOF > 0 \Longrightarrow p < p_m \\ ROCOF < 0 \Longrightarrow p > p_m \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{Power mismatch}$ 

**First solution**: Actively control  $p_m$  to meet the desired output power p

Main drawback: The unit should operate with a headroom below MPP to be capable of providing additional power in case of negative ROCOF, thus leading to loss of green energy

Second solution: Use of fast energy storage system (FSS) that absorbs any power mismatch Main drawback: Additional cost which is very small compared to the cost of CI-RES<sup>[1]</sup>

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $e_{IR} = \int_{t=t_0}^{IR \text{ energy}} p_{IR} dt = -\frac{2H}{f_N} \left( f_{t=t_1} - f_{t=t_0} \right)$   $f_{t=t_0} = f_N$   $f_{t=t_1} = f_{final}$   $he_{IR} = -\frac{2H}{f_N} \left( f_{final} - f_N \right)$   $he_{IR} = -\frac{2H}{f_N} \left( f_{final} - f_N \right)$ 

# Unified Virtual Synchronous Generator (UVSG)

FSS sizing for IR

$$e_{IR}^{\max} = \frac{2H}{f_N} \max\left(\left|f_{final} - f_N\right|\right)$$

Maximum frequency deviation is 2.5 Hz (unrealistic to assume 50 Hz)

Frequency range: 47.5 - 52.5 Hz

Above this threshold, large power plants can be disconnected [1]

$$e_{IR}^{\max} = 0.1H \frac{kJ}{kW}$$
 or  $0.0278H \frac{Wh}{kW}$ 

Example:

H = 5 s 
$$e_{IR}^{\text{max}} = 0.5 \frac{kJ}{kW}$$
 or 0.138  $\frac{Wh}{kW}$ 

[1] Greek Grid Code, Available [Online], https://www.admie.gr/en/market/regulatory-framework/esmie-operation-code.

# Frequency event at 0.5 s. 50 Hz $\rightarrow$ 49 Hz in 1s

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

[1] M. Ebrahimi, S. A. Khajehoddin and M. Karimi-Ghartemani, "An Improved Damping Method for Virtual Synchronous Machines," in IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1491-1500, July 2019, doi: 10.1109/TSTE.2019.2902033.

# Frequency event at 0.5 s. 50 Hz $\rightarrow$ 49 Hz in 1s

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

[1] M. Ebrahimi, S. A. Khajehoddin and M. Karimi-Ghartemani, "An Improved Damping Method for Virtual Synchronous Machines," in IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1491-1500, July 2019, doi: 10.1109/TSTE.2019.2902033.

Frequency event at 0.5 s. 50 Hz  $\rightarrow$  49 Hz in 1s

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Frequency event at 0.5 s. 50 Hz $\rightarrow$ 49 Hz in 1s

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

[1] Q. Zhong and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters: Inverters That Mimic Synchronous Generators," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1259-1267, April 2011, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2010.2048839.

[2] V. Natarajan and G. Weiss, "Synchronverters With Better Stability Due to Virtual Inductors, Virtual Capacitors, and Anti-Windup," in IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 5994-6004, July 2017, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2017.2674611.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Eigenvalue analysis

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

Experimental validation

 $ROCOF = 0.5 \text{ Hz/s}, S_N = 20 \text{ kVA}$ 

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

[1] A. M. Gross, K.-N. D. Malamaki, M. Barragan Villarejo, G. C. Kryonidis, J. L. Martinez Ramos, C. S. Demoulias, "Energy Management in Converter-Interfaced Renewable Energy Sources Through Ultracapacitors for Provision of Ancillary Services," presented in 4 th International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST 2021) pp 1-6 2021.

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

Summary and Discussion

Several implementations for the provision of synthetic inertia exist

Calculating ROCOF via measurements is a **challenging** task, **delaying** also the inertia provision (**not "true**" inertia)

Implementations that use the **conventional** swing-equation, e.g., synchronverter, are characterized by **poor damping** of oscillations and **coupled** IR and PFR

EASY-RES approach can **overcome** all the above-mentioned issues. **No frequency measurement** is needed. IR is **decoupled** from PFR. Thus, they can be **individually handled**, **measured**, and thus **remunerated**. CO EASY-RES // Synthetic Inertia by DRES

# The Consortium

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme for research and innovation under Grant Agreement no 764090.

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Thank you!

Dr. Georgios C. Kryonidis

Affiliation:Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Aristotle<br/>University of ThessalonikiE-Mail:kryonidi@ece.auth.grEASY-RES website:http://www.easyres-project.eu/

This presentation reflects only the author's view. The Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA) and the European Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

(i) Start presenting to display the audience questions on this slide.

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Synthetic inertia can be provided by

(i) Start presenting to display the poll results on this slide.

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

# A phase-locked loop (PLL) is needed for the implementation of

(i) Start presenting to display the poll results on this slide.

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **True "True" inertia is provided**

(i) Start presenting to display the poll results on this slide.

# slido

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

The decoupling of inertial response (IR) for primary frequency response (PFR) can be implemented by

(i) Start presenting to display the poll results on this slide.

# slido

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

The energy released/stored by a fast energy storage system (FSS) during a frequency event depends on

(i) Start presenting to display the poll results on this slide.